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Abstract

This paper presents a new method of grouping range image regions such that each group of regions represents a meaningful part of an object. The set of regions, defined as Convex Region Set (CRS), is made by analyzing the boundary types between a pair of regions. The boundary types are classified as convex, concave, and jump boundaries. If two regions share a convex boundary it is assumed that they are inseparable regions, thus describing the same part (object). The CRSs are determined by a Region Boundary Graph (RBG) which is defined as a graph whose nodes represent regions, and the edges represent boundaries: convex and concave. Since jump boundaries represent no physical contact in 3-D, they are represented as null edges. A CRS is defined as set of regions (or nodes in an RBG) such that for each pair of regions in the set, there is a path, which is represented only by convex edges. The physical interpretation is that a CRS represents part of an object such that the regions in the set can not be separated.

1 Introduction

This paper describes a new way of analyzing segmented range images to recognize 3-D objects, and follows earlier work by Han, et al. [7] on range image segmentation using surface normal analysis. The basic philosophy of our method is to use the nature of the range data and 3-D objects in studying the relationships between segmented range images, and to make sets of regions that partition the image regions in such a way that the regions in a set are inseparable from each other, and represent a meaningful part of a 3-D object. As an analogy with a sheet of paper, we are trying to use two faces of a sheet as one unit in matching, instead of dealing with two faces separately. The aim of this scheme is to remove non-candidate regions (or models) in the earlier stage of data driven matching by testing simple binary relationships without expensive computations, like geometric transformations or optimization.

The reduction of computation for matching comes from several factors. One factor is that the number of candidates is greatly reduced since a set of regions is a matching unit. Another factor is that, since one set of regions can be a unique combination (or at least very small number of candidate groups might exist), detection of a unique set of regions saves unnecessary searching. Additional speed up comes from the fact that only binary relationships need to be tested in the earlier stage of matching which is relatively simple. And, this method also could be a preprocessor for the matching method described by Shapiro, et al. [13] who used parts in matching 3-D objects using relational paradigm.

In matching geometric descriptions for range image analysis, researchers used optimization [1], relaxation [3], various tree search methods [5, 9, 10, 12] and so forth. None of these methods used a group of regions as a unit, even though some of them used relational constraints. Depending upon the image and the models, the number comparisons or calculations in finding correspondence could be enormous in matching by one primitive to one primitive.

Some analogous but quite different work has been done in understanding 2-D line drawing of 3-D blocks world. According to Ballard and Brown [7], Guzman [6] used lines to make polygonal regions and grouped the regions such that each of the set represents one polyhedral block.

As another interpretation of line drawing of 3-D blocks world, Clowes [4] used line labeling schemes. Similar works on interpretation of line drawings can be found in Winston [15, 16]. Sugihara [14] also used junction types in analyzing 3-D block objects from range images.

The region grouping method described in this paper is based on the boundary types between two segmented regions of range image. The boundary types are jump, convex, and concave. If two regions are combined by a convex boundary, it is assumed that the two regions belong to the same object, and they are non-separable regions. One assumption is that we exclude accidental alignment which can be resolved through model driven analysis.

In the next section, an overview of the segmentation method is presented. Boundary types are defined in Section 3. In Section 4, CRS is defined, and in Section 5, experimental results are given, followed by a summary.
2 Segmentation

In this section we summarize our segmentation procedure. A more detailed description can be found in Han, et al. [7,8].

The method is to find specified surfaces like, planar, cylindrical, and spherical regions that are the majority of man-made parts. After calculating surface normals using a normal operator, each type of the region is extracted in sequence. The basic strategy of this segmentation is to use maximum possible curved regions in order to capture curved surfaces, while restricting the application to a region enclosed by jump boundaries and other extracted regions in order to maintain homogeneity of the region.

Planar regions are extracted by making normal histograms and extracting regions of corresponding sizable height of the smoothed normal histograms, flowed by planarity test which compares the estimated overall normal of the region to the normals of inside, and outside of the boundary of the region. Without this planarity test, it is not possible to distinguish small part of slightly curved surfaces with planar regions.

Cylindrical regions are extracted by first estimating the possible direction of axis. The direction of axis is estimated using histogram analysis of cross product of a pair of normals. Then a rotation matrix is made, and surface points are projected to a plane perpendicular to the axis of the cylinder. From this projection, center point and radius, and concavity-convexity of the cylindrical regions is determined.

Spherical region extraction is based on the evidence of the center of a sphere which is made by estimating possible center points for each pair of surface points, and deciding the majority value of the center. The radius is determined from this estimated center at the same time. Distance criteria, which test the distance from surface point to the estimated center, is applied to remove false center points.

3 Boundary Types

In most cases, the properties of a region are not specific enough to find a unique candidate region in matching. There may be several candidate regions for a region of an image with the same region properties. To reduce this ambiguity, not only the property of a region but also relationships between regions must be checked. Two binary relationships are defined between a pair of regions such that different viewing position does not affect their relationships. The first is a boundary type relationship defined between two adjacent regions; the other is region relationship value that is measured quantitatively such as incore product of surface normals in planar-planar relationships, and the shortest distance from a plane to the center of a sphere in planar-spherical relationships and so forth. The region relationship value is useful in checking consistency between matched regions, but in this paper we only introduce the boundary type relationships. Winnowing, and matching sets of regions using region properties and these binary relationships are described in Han[8].

Three boundary types are defined between two adjacent regions. They are jump boundary, convex boundary, and concave boundary. As shown in Figure 1, for each boundary point $b_i$, we define a pair of points $(p_i, q_i)$ such that $p_i \in R_i$, $q_i \notin R_i$, and the line connecting $p_i$ and $q_i$ meets perpendicular to the tangential line of boundary curve at $b_i$, and the distance from $b_i$ to $q_i$ is the same as that from $b_i$ to $p_i$. As the distance between $b_i$ and $q_i$, chessboard distance of 4 pixels is used. But in calculation, there are cases that the distances cannot be made the same since the regions could be an arbitrary shape. In this case the distance could be different, but the point $p_i$ should be in the region, and $q_i$ should be kept outside of the region.

- Jump boundaries: A boundary point $b_i$ is a jump boundary point if $|z(p_i) - z(q_i)| > DEPTH THRESHOLD$, where $z(p_i)$ represents the depth value at point $p_i$, $z(q_i)$ represents depth value at point $q_i$, and $DEPTH THRESHOLD$ represents a threshold value of the depth difference. A jump boundary consists of jump boundary points. That is, if two regions share a boundary whose boundary points are jump boundary points, then the boundary is a jump boundary. In a range image two neighboring regions can share a jump boundary, but in actual

Figure 1: Boundary points, and inner and outer points.

Figure 2: Relations between $b_i$ and $f(b_i)$
3-D they are not neighbors. Hence, if two adjacent regions share a jump boundary in range image, we declare them as non-neighbors.

Let \( h_i = (h_{x,i}, h_{y,i}) \) be a boundary point. Let \( \ell \) be a line in 3-D space that passes through \( (p_x, z(p)) = (p_x, p_y, z(p)) \) and \( (q_x, z(q)) = (q_x, q_y, z(q)) \). We use the notation \( \ell(h_i) \) to denote the z-coordinate value of the line at point \( h_i \), that is, the point on the line \( \ell \) is \( (h_{x,i} \ell(h_i)) = (h_{x,i}, h_{y,i}, \ell(h_i)) \) and the depth value of the range image at point \( h_i \) is denoted as \( z(h_i) \) (see Figure 2).

* Concave Boundary: A boundary point \( h_i \) is a concave boundary point if \( \ell(h_i) > z(h_i) \). That is, the depth value of the boundary points is below the line segments that connects point \( p_i \) and \( q_i \) as shown in Figure 3. In the figure, the cross section is shown with vertical axis representing the depth value of the surfaces. A boundary is a concave boundary if its boundary points are concave boundary points.

* Convex Boundary: A boundary point \( h_i \) is a convex boundary point if \( \ell(h_i) \leq z(h_i) \). Actually, if \( \ell(h_i) = z(h_i) \) then \( h_i \) is neither convex nor concave. But in this case we define it as a convex point as explained later. A boundary is a convex boundary if its boundary points are convex boundary points.

As an example of the boundary types, let’s consider Figure 4 as a segmented range image of a cylindrical block on a floor with a wall. In the figure, the boundary types between regions 1 and 2, and 1 and 3 are jump, between regions 1 and 4, and 3 and 4, are concave. The boundary type between regions 2 and 3 is convex. As we can see from the figure, there are more than one type of boundary between regions 3 and 4. For region 3, two vertical boundaries are jump, and the bottom boundary is concave. But, since jump means no physical contact, the relation between regions 3 and 4 is defined as concave. As in this example, two regions may share more than one type of boundary. In this case the relation is determined by the strength of the boundary types as defined next.

* Strength of a boundary type: The strength of a boundary type means the strength of the relationship between two regions that share the boundary. For example, the jump boundary between regions 1 and 2, in Figure 4, has no physical contact. Hence, the jump boundary is the weakest boundary type among the three. The concave boundary as between regions 3 and 4 and between regions 1 and 4, shows actual contact between these regions. This type is stronger than a jump boundary. But two regions that share a concave boundary do not necessarily belong to the same part. This boundary type can be formed when we put an object on another.

Convex boundaries, on the other hand, cannot be made this way except through an accidental alignment. If two regions share a convex boundary, as in most of the cases, they belong to the same object. That is, they cannot be separated. Hence a convex boundary is the strongest type. In other words, the strength of the boundary types are ordered as convex > concave > jump. If two regions share more than one type of boundary, the relation is defined by the strongest boundary type.

* Accidental alignment: In defining the strength of boundary types, we excluded accidental alignment of objects. If two or more objects contact in such a way that they form a convex boundary(Figure 5-A), or two regions merge to one region(Figure 5-B), it is not possible to say whether the observed object is a single object or combination of several objects without knowledge of the models. The left side object in Figure 5-B could be a combination of two blocks as shown in the figure, or it could be a single object. Or, it could be a combination of more than two objects. In our analysis, these cases are regarded as a single object. It is assumed that this accidental alignment problem can be resolved in higher level analysis using model data.

If two regions share a boundary where \( \ell(h_i) - z(h_i) \) is positive and close to zero, then the regions are supposed to be inseparable. And \( h_i \) is regarded as the convex boundary point. Hence, the convex boundary point is defined as the point where \( \ell(h_i) \leq z(h_i) \) instead of \( \ell(h_i) < z(h_i) \).
4 Convex region set

Let's consider Figure 6 as a drawing of some objects. Humans can easily perceive this picture as a drawing of four objects jumbled together. But for machines, this picture is just a set of regions or line segments if you will. Let's consider the same picture as region boundaries of a segmented range image. Then there are 18 regions without considering the background.

If there is a way of grouping those regions into four sets such that each set only matches one part/object, then matching will be much faster and computation will be greatly reduced compared to region by region matching. In this section we introduce Convex Region Set (CRS) which is a set of range image regions such that each of the regions in a set only matches one object.

We use graph notation in representing the regions and boundary relationships. Some of the definitions of graphs are given as follows. We will assume that the graph under consideration is simple and undirected.

- Any sequence of edges of a graph such that the terminal node of any edge in the sequence is the initial node of the edge, if any, appearing next in the sequence defines a path of the graph.

Definition 1 A Region Boundary Graph (RBG) \( G = (R, CV, CC) \) consists of a nonempty set \( R \) representing the set of regions, \( CV \) is the set of convex edges which represent convex boundaries, \( CC \) is the set of concave edges representing concave boundaries.

Definition 2 Any sequence of convex edges of an RBG such that the terminal node of any convex edge in the sequence is the initial node of the convex edge, if any, appearing next in the sequence defines a convex path of the RBG.

For example, \((3, 5, 5, 4, 3)\) and \((1, 2)\) are convex paths in Figure 7-B.

Definition 3 A node \( v \) of an RBG is convex reachable from the node \( u \) of the same RBG if there exists a convex path from \( u \) to \( v \).

Definition 4 A Convex Region Set (CRS) \( S \) of an RBG is a set of regions such that any node \( v \in S \) is convex reachable from any node \( u \in S \).
5 Computation of CRS from Range Image

In this section CRS calculation results are shown for the range images that are made synthetically using a geometric modeling system. The depth value is represented by 8 bits (0 to 255), but the parts of the objects occupy only a small range of this depth value. For example, the radii of the cylindrical regions in Figure 11 are 9, 13, and 18. Normal distribution noise with standard deviation 2.0 is added to the image.

After segmenting the range images, CRSs are made according to the boundary relationships between pairs of regions. From the results of region segmentation, the boundary of each of the region is followed, and boundary points are classified as convex, concave, or jump in relation with other regions. With this boundary point type and labels of neighboring regions, boundary types between pair of regions are decided. Boundary relationships between two regions are determined by the strongest boundary type. Two regions can be neighbors if they share non-zero number of boundary points. The results of some of our many tests are shown here.

We named the range images as Image-A, Image-B, etc. In Figure 10, (a) is the original range image, and (b) is the segmented regions. In this case there are 5 planar regions, a cylindrical region, and a spherical region connected smoothly to the cylindrical region. There are four CRSs in this segmented image. The non-black regions in (c), (d), (e), and (f) show each of the CRS. (c) and (f) consist of only one region for each of the CRS. Figure (g) shows the region boundaries, region labels, and location of the spherical region center and cross line and two end points represented by ‘+’ of cylindrical axis line connecting two ‘×’s. Note that region labels are not necessarily in sequence. The CRS shown in (c) has the largest weighting among the CRSs, meaning the CRSs has the most informative shape. (b) is the RBG of the segmented regions, where solid lines represent convex boundaries, and dotted lines represent concave boundaries. The small black squares represent nodes which represent regions. The labels of each node consist of two parts, like, (P 1), (C 7), (S 8) etc. Here, a ‘P’ represents a planar region, a ‘C’ represents a cylindrical region, and an ‘S’ represents a spherical region.

The numbers, 1, 7, 8 are the region labels.

In Figure 11, the object contains concave and convex cylindrical regions. In this figure also, (a) is the range image, and (b) is segmented regions. (c) shows a CRS that has a convex cylindrical region, a planar region, and a concave cylindrical region. These three regions are connected by convex links. The regions, or that has more unique regions. This can be made by giving a weighting factor to each of the regions in a CRS. Considering that planar regions are most abundant and have less features than curved regions, a weighting factor of 1 is given for each planar region. Cylindrical regions are more abundant than spherical regions, thus we assigned 2 for cylindrical regions and 3 for spherical regions. The total weight of a CRS is the sum of each of the region’s weighting factor.
as shown in (b) (regions 3, 7, 8). (d) is another CRS with a convex cylinder and a plane (regions 5 and 9). The CRS shown in (e) has two planar regions (regions 4 and 6), and (f) is the background region (region 1). (g) shows the region boundaries and axes of cylindrical regions. Figures 12 and 13 show other examples.

6 Summary
A new method of grouping range image regions is presented, and computational examples are given. The group of regions, defined as a Convex Region Set (CRS), represents a part or object such that those regions in a CRS are inseparable. The CRS is made by analyzing boundary types, convex, concave, and jump, between a pair of regions. If two regions share a convex boundary, it is assumed that they are inseparable, and they belong to the same CRS. This method can be applied to any range image regions, convex or concave, or curved parts where boundaries are defined.

This technique is very useful in analyzing 3-D scenes using range data, and can be used as a preprocessing for 3-D matching where the unit of matching is a part of an object (set of regions) instead of one region. Thus greatly reducing the computation of matching.

High level model driven analysis will be required if some parts do not exist in model data. This could happen if two or more parts merge together and appear to be a single part.

In order to calculate the pose of object, geometric parameters (rotation and translation) must be calculated. With matched set of regions, this computation will be easy compared to conventional region to region matching. More work will be done for this transformation computation.
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