**Intermediate representations**

**front end** produce an intermediate representation (IR) for the program.

**optimizer** transforms the code in IR form into an equivalent program that may run more efficiently.

**back end** transforms the code in IR form into native code for the target machine

The IR encodes knowledge that the compiler has derived about the source program.
Intermediate representations

Why use an intermediate representation?

1. break the compiler into manageable pieces
   good software engineering technique
2. allow a complete pass before code is emitted
   lets compiler consider more than one option
3. simplifies retargeting to new host
   isolates back end from front end
4. simplifies handling of “poly-architecture” problem
   \( m \) lang’s, \( n \) targets \( \Rightarrow m + n \) components (\textit{myth})
5. enables machine-independent optimization
   general techniques, multiple passes

An intermediate representation is a compile-time data structure
Intermediate representations

Important IR Properties

- ease of generation
- ease of manipulation
- cost of manipulation
- level of abstraction
- freedom of expression
- size of typical procedure
- original or derivative

Subtle design decisions in the IR have far reaching effects on the speed and effectiveness of the compiler.

Level of exposed detail is a crucial consideration.
Intermediate representations

Representations talked about in the literature include:

- abstract syntax trees (AST)
- linear (operator) form of tree
- directed acyclic graphs (DAG)
- control flow graphs (CFG)
- program dependence graphs (PDG)
- static single assignment form (SSA)
- stack code
- three address code
- hybrid combinations
Intermediate representations

Broadly speaking, IRs fall into three categories:

Structural

- structural IRs are graphically oriented
- examples: trees, directed acyclic graphs
- heavily used in source to source translators
- nodes, edges tend to be large

Linear

- pseudo-code for some abstract machine
- large variation in level of abstraction
- simple, compact data structures
- easier to rearrange

Hybrids

- combination of graphs and linear code
- attempt to take best of each
- examples: control-flow graph
Abstract syntax tree

An abstract syntax tree (AST) is the procedure’s parse tree with the nodes for most non-terminal symbols removed.

\[- \quad \]

\[<\text{id},x> \quad * \quad <\text{id},y> \quad <\text{num},2> \]

This represents “x - 2 * y”.

For ease of manipulation, can use a linearized (operator) form of the tree.

\[x \ 2 \ y \ * \ - \text{ in postfix form.}\]
Directed acyclic graph

A directed acyclic graph (DAG) is an AST with a unique node for each value.

\[
x \leftarrow 2 * y + \sin(2*x)
\]

\[
z \leftarrow x / 2
\]
Control flow graph

The control flow graph (CFG) models the transfers of control in the procedure.

- nodes in the graph are basic blocks
  maximal-length straight-line blocks of code
- edges in the graph represent control flow
  loops, if-then-else, case, goto

Example

```plaintext
if (x=y)
    then s1
else s2
    else s3
```

becomes

![Diagram of control flow graph]
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Stack machine code

Several stack-based computers have been built
Compilers can directly generate stack code

Example
\[ x - 2 * y \]
becomes
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{push } x \\
\text{push } 2 \\
\text{push } y \\
\text{multiply} \\
\text{subtract}
\end{align*}
\]

Advantages

- compact form
- introduced names are implicit, not explicit
- simple to generate and execute code

B5500, B1700, P-code, BCPL, RPN calculators
Bytecodes are becoming popular (again)
Three address code

Three address code is a term used to describe a variety of representations.

In general, they allow statements of the form:

\[ x \leftarrow y \text{ op } z \]

with a single operator and, at most, three names.

Simpler form of expression

\[ x - 2 \times y \]

becomes

\[ t1 \leftarrow 2 \times y \]
\[ t2 \leftarrow x - t1 \]

Advantages

- compact form (direct naming)
- names for intermediate values

Can include forms of prefix or postfix code
Three address code

Typical statement types include:

1. assignments — $x \leftarrow y \ op \ z$
2. assignments — $x \leftarrow op \ y$
3. assignments — $x \leftarrow y[i]$
4. assignments — $x \leftarrow y$
5. branches — goto L
6. conditional branches — if $x \ relop \ y$ goto L
7. procedure calls — param $x$ and call $p$
8. address and pointer assignments
Three address code

Until recently, compile-time space was a serious issue

• machines had small memories
• compiler touches space it allocates

Compact forms of three address code

• quadruples
• triples
• indirect triples

Major tradeoff is compactness versus ease of manipulation

Today, speed (and locality) may be more important
Three address code

Quadruples

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x - 2 * y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>load</td>
<td>t1</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>loadi</td>
<td>t2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>mult</td>
<td>t3</td>
<td>t2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>load</td>
<td>t4</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>sub</td>
<td>t5</td>
<td>t4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- simple record structure with four fields
- easy to reorder
- explicit names
Three address code

Triples

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
 & x - 2 * y \\
\hline
1 & load & y \\
2 & loadi & 2 \\
3 & mult & (1) (2) \\
4 & load & x \\
5 & sub & (4) (3) \\
\end{array}
\]

- use table index as implicit name
- require only three fields in record
- harder to reorder
Three address code

Indirect Triples

\[ x - 2 \times y \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>stmt</th>
<th>op</th>
<th>arg1</th>
<th>arg2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>load</td>
<td>y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>loadi</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>mult</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>load</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>sub</td>
<td>(103)</td>
<td>(102)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- list of 1st triple in statement
- simplifies moving statements
- more space than triples
- implicit name space management
Other hybrids

An attempt to get the best of both worlds.

- graphs where they work
- linear codes where it pays off

Unfortunately, there appears to be little agreement about where to use each kind of IR to best advantage.

For example:

- PCC and F77 directly emit assembly code for control flow, but build and pass around expression trees for expressions.
- Many systems use a control flow graph with three address code for each basic block.
- Source-to-source translators typically use AST and dependence graph
Intermediate representations

*But, this isn’t the whole story.*

Symbol table:

- identifiers, procedures
- size, type, location
- lexical nesting depth

Constant table:

- representation, type
- storage class, offset(s)

Storage map:

- storage layout
- overlap information
- (virtual) register assignments
Advice

• Many kinds of IR are used in practice.
• Best choice depends on application.
• There is no widespread agreement on this subject.
• A compiler may need several different IRs
• Choose IR with right level of detail
• Keep manipulation costs in mind